# **Operational domain in hydrogen plasmas on the GOLEM tokamak**

Vojtech Svoboda<sup>1</sup>, Maya Zhekova<sup>2</sup>, Miglena Dimitrova<sup>3,4</sup>, Plamena Marinova<sup>2</sup>, Ales Podolník<sup>4</sup>, Jan Stockel<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty on Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Brehova 7, 115 19 Prague, Czech Republic

<sup>2</sup>Faculty of Physics, St. Kl. Ohridski University of Sofia, 5 J. Bourchier Blvd., 1164 Sofia, Bulgaria

<sup>3</sup>Acad. E. Djakov Institute of Electronics, Bulgarian Academy of Sciences, Sofia, Bulgaria <sup>4</sup>Institute of Plasma Physics, AS CR, Za Slovankou 3, 182 00 Prague, Czech Republic

vojtech.svoboda@fjfi.cvut.cz ORCID iD: 0000-0003-1898-9120

**Abstract**. A series of discharges in Hydrogen were performed in two experimental sessions in different tokamak vessel configurations. The vessel was not conditioned before the first session, while inductive heating of the vessel and cleaning glow discharge were applied before the second session. Experimental results from both sessions are compared, and optimum operational conditions for the majority of key plasma parameters are determined. It is found that plasma performance with a properly conditioned vessel is significantly better, as expected. In particular, a noticeable increase of discharge duration, and of the electron temperature is observed.

Keywords: tokamak, plasma, operational domains.

### **Acknowledgements:**

The first experimental session was performed during 8<sup>th</sup> International Workshop and Summer School on Plasma Physics, Kiten, (June 2018, Bulgaria). Authors acknowledge an active contribution of participants of the workshop. Authors also thank to Vladimir Fuchs for careful reading the manuscript and for his valuable comments.

This work was partially supported by the EU Operational program Research, Development and Education No CZ.02.1.01/0.0/0.0/16\_019/0000778 Centre of Advanced Applied Sciences. Additional support has been granted from International Atomic Energy Association research contract F13019, entitled "Network of Small and Medium Size Magnetic Confinement Fusion Devices for Fusion Research" and the Joint project between the Bulgarian and Czech Academies of Sciences, BAS – 17-05. The opinions expressed by authors do not necessarily represent the positions of the European Commission neither IAEA.

## Introduction

The GOLEM tokamak operates at the Faculty of Nuclear Physics and Physical Engineering (FNPPE), Czech Technical University in Prague [1]. GOLEM is a small tokamak which was constructed at the end of 1950's at the Kurchatov Institute, Moscow and moved to the Institute of Plasma Physics in Prague in 1977 and re-named as CASTOR [2,3]. After 30 years of operation, the tokamak was given to the FNPPE for education of students and renamed as GOLEM. It is the oldest operational tokamak in the word.

A unique feature of GOLEM is the possibility of remote operation via Internet (see Section 2.2.), which is frequently used for education of young domestic as well as foreign students. Recently, such remote operation was performed with the aim to determine the operational domain of the GOLEM tokamak in a sufficiently broad range of engineering input parameters and to optimize discharge performance.

Remote experiments were performed in two sessions with respectively different tokamak vessel configuration.

- First session: The vacuum vessel is evacuated to the basic pressure ~0.1 mPa, and with tokamak operation starting immediately. Consequently, the inner surface of the vessel is covered by adsorbed molecules (mainly water). Such an operation mode is usually used for demonstration of GOLEM performance, for commissioning of newly installed diagnostics, and for educational purposes. In the following text, we refer to this vessel conditions as "dirty".
- The second session: the tokamak vessel was carefully conditioned by inductive heating for up to 200° for 60 minutes, which was followed by a cleaning glow discharge in Helium to remove impurities adsorbed on the inner surface. This operational mode is usually exploited for dedicated plasma physics studies, [e.g. 4]. This status of the vessel is referred to as "clean".

Plasma performance on the GOLEM tokamak under the both vessel conditions is compared. The main aim is to provide guidance to future GOLEM users, and thus to accelerate the achievement of required discharge conditions. The GOLEM tokamak together with its state-of-the-art remote handling system are briefly described in Section 2. Main experimental results are presented and discussed in Section 3, and summarized in Section 4.

# 2 The GOLEM tokamak

#### 2.1 Description and characteristics

The GOLEM tokamak has a circular cross section with the major/minor radii  $R_0 = 0.4$  m, b = 0.1 m. The stainless steel vessel is equipped with a poloidal limiter (made of Molybdenum) of radius a = 0.085 m. The power supplies of individual windings are based on several condenser banks. In this contribution, we perform GOLEM operation in the very basic mode, and only two condenser banks are exploited to supply the toroidal field coils and primary winding of the iron core transformer.

The tokamak is equipped by a set of basic diagnostics, which measure the loop voltage, plasma current, toroidal magnetic field, and visible emission. GOLEM is also equipped with Mirnov coils, a visible spectrometer, a microwave interferometer, HXR sensor, an array of bolometers, and a fast camera for time resolved pictures of the visible emission [5], etc....It is interesting to note that high temperature superconductors were tested for the first time in tokamak environment on GOLEM [6].

Engineering and plasma parameters, which can be achieved on GOLEM are quite modest. The tokamak operates at maximum toroidal magnetic field of up to 0.5 T, with plasma current less than 8 kA. The central electron temperature is somewhat less than 100 eV, and the line average density just below  $\sim 10^{19}$  m<sup>-3</sup>, with the maximum pulse length is around 20 ms.

# 2.2 Remote handling of the GOLEM tokamak

A unique capability of the GOLEM tokamak is that it can be operated remotely via Internet [7]. Once agreed with the chief in-situ operator, the remote users connect to the web page displaying the remote control room of GOLEM, which is shown as the print screen in Figure 1.



Figure 1. Virtual control room of the Golem tokamak used for remote operation.

It is seen in the figure that just six "knobs" are used to operate the tokamak in a basic mode. Remote operators pre-select charging voltage of the condenser banks for powering the toroidal field coils ( $U_B$ ) and the primary winding of the transformer ( $U_{CD}$ ). Then, the time delay between triggering pulses of  $U_B$  and  $U_{CD}$  is also pre-selected ( $t_{CD}$ ). Furthermore, the working gas (Hydrogen or Helium) and its filling pressure ( $p_{WG}$ ) are chosen. One can also select the type of pre-ionization (microwave or electron gun). The selected discharge is commented and placed into the queue. Once the discharge is executed, the experimental results in form of temporal evolutions of basic plasma parameters, as well as resulting data files are available, when the option "Results" is selected on the yellow banner of the screen. Other most important options seen on the yellow banner in figure 1: <u>*Queue*</u> – position of the discharge to be executed, <u>*Live*</u> – views by web cameras of the torus hall and through a glass window into the tokamak vessel.

## 3. Experimental results

This remote operation of GOLEM is focused on defining the operation domain of the GOLEM tokamak. We have fixed the charging voltage toroidal magnetic field at  $U_B = 1100$  V, which generates sinusoidal waveform of the toroidal magnetic field with the maximum  $B_t = 0.45$  T at t = 25 ms. Furthermore, the time delay between triggering the condenser banks  $U_B$  and  $U_{CD}$  is also fixed to t = 0 ms. This means that both the main power supplies are triggered at the same time. The working gas is Hydrogen, and its pre-ionization by an electron gun is kept ON. Thus, only two of six "knobs" mentioned above are exploited in this experiment. The temporal evolution of a typical discharge is plotted in figure 2.



Figure 2. Temporal evolution of the discharge #27640, p = 23.5 mPa,  $U_{CD} = 400 \text{ V} - \text{``clean'' vessel}$ .

The left panel displays the evolution of three basic quantities measured during every discharge, the loop voltage, the plasma current, and the toroidal magnetic field. From these data, the duration of the discharge  $T_{dischcharge}$ , the breakdown voltage of the working gas  $U_{breakdown}$ , and the value of the toroidal magnetic field at the breakdown are automatically recorder and stored. Plots in the right panel of Figure 2 show quantities derived automatically from the basic diagnostics: the electron temperature  $T_e$  (from the plasma conductivity according to Spitzer's formula [8] corrected for trapped electrons [9]), the Ohmic heating power  $P_{OH} = U_{loop}*I_p$ , and the edge safety factor q(a). All these data are stored in the GOLEM database and are freely available via internet for any discharge.

It has to be noted that the plasma position in vertical/horizontal direction within the tokamak vessel evolves spontaneously, because it is not actively controlled. This is a specific feature of GOLEM operation at a basic level. Consequently, the position of the plasma center is changing during a discharge, as demonstrated in Figure 3 showing emission recorded by an array of bolometers.



Figure 3. Temporal evolution emission measured by the array of bolometers viewing the plasma vertically from the horizontal port (#27640).

Displacement of emissivity upward and shrinking of the plasma column during the discharge is evident. However, this variation of the plasma cross section is not taken into account in the present analysis. The GOLEM tokamak is equipped by a microwave interferometer ( $\lambda = 4$  mm) which measures the line average density. Unfortunately, this diagnostics did not operate properly during these experimental campaigns. We observe "jumps" in the temporal evolution of the interferometer signal, which is a consequence of "fringe fails" that are not properly processed by its electronic system. Therefore, any systematic analysis of density cannot be performed over the scans. Nevertheless, a rough analysis of interferometer data indicates a rather low line average density, between ~1.8 – 3.8 10<sup>18</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>.

About 90 discharges were executed during both remote sessions by scanning the pressure of the working gas in the range of 10 - 30 mPa, and the charging voltage of the condenser bank of the primary winding of the tokamak transformer in the range of 250 - 600 V. We focus on several plasma parameters, which are also automatically derived and stored in the GOLEM database, such as the mean plasma current, the mean loop voltage, the mean Ohmic heating power, and the central electron temperature averaged over the discharge duration. Furthermore, we analyze conditions at breakdown of the working gas and behavior of runaway electrons. We search the optimum values of above-mentioned quantities to characterize plasma performance and to determine the operational domain of the GOLEM tokamak in Hydrogen discharges.

## 3.1 Breakdown of the working gas

Temporal evolution of the start –up phase of a GOLEM discharge is shown in Fig. 4. We plot here selected parameters such as the total current measured by the external Rogowski coil, the current in the conducting vacuum vessel (proportional to the loop voltage), and their difference showing the plasma current.



Figure 4. Evolution of the start-up phase on GOLEM.

We can distinguish two phases during the start-up. After applying the loop voltage at t = 5 ms, the electrons from the pre-ionization source accelerate, collide with molecules of the working gas and ionize them. Consequently, the plasma density and the plasma current increases exponentially with a time constant, which is given by the balance between the ionization rate and charge particle losses due to stray magnetic fields. This phase is usually called the avalanche phase [10], and, in this particular case lasts ~ 2.3 ms. When the plasma current increases to a sufficiently high level, the rotational transform becomes dominant and the confinement of charged particles dramatically improves. This occurs when the plasma current is  $I_p \sim 30-40$  A and the toroidal magnetic field is  $B_t \sim 95$  mT. Then, the plasma current starts to rise faster, the degree of ionization increases, electron-ion collisions dominate, and plasma becomes ohmically heated.



Results of breakdown studies are summarized as 2D plots in the p -  $U_{CD}$  plane in Fig. 5.

Figure 5. 2D plots in the plane of the pressure and the charging voltages  $U_{CD}$ . Red symbols – "clean" vessel, black symbols – "dirty" vessel. Left - the breakdown time, right – the loop voltage at the breakdown.

It is evident that the lowest breakdown voltage is observed at low charging voltage  $U_{CD}$ , and depends only slightly on the pressure of the working gas. It is also seen that the status of the vacuum vessel (clean or dirty) doesn't play any significant role for breakdown, as expected. It is also seen that the breakdown voltage increases with the pressure of the working gas for any charging voltage  $U_{CD}$ . This is in agreement with prediction from the Paschen law shown in Fig. 6, where the toroidal electric field required for breakdown is plotted versus the pressure of the working gas.



Figure 6. Paschen diagram on GOLEM – for "clean" (red symbols) and "dirty" (blue symbols) vessel for all measured values of U<sub>CD</sub>. Blue line – model Paschen law.

The Paschen law for breakdown voltage is described by the general expression [11]

$$E = \frac{Bp}{\ln(Apd) - C}$$

where C = ln[ln (1+1/ $\gamma$ )], and A, B are constants. If the pressure p of working gas is expressed in mPa, and the electric field  $E_{bd}=U_{bd}/2\pi R$  is in V/m, then the best fit (blue line in Fig. 6) is achieved by selecting unknown constants such as A= 0.9 [m<sup>-1</sup>, mPa<sup>-1</sup>], B = 0.3 V/m/mPa, C = 1.2 =>  $\gamma \sim 0.037$ .

It is evident that the electric field  $E_{bd}$  on GOLEM is still by an order of magnitude higher than the limit for breakdown required for ITER [12]. It means that the conditions for breakdown are still far from optimum. This is because of perpendicular components of the toroidal magnetic field are still significant and cause losses of charged particles during the avalanche phase of the discharge before the rotational transform dominates [9]. The stray perpendicular magnetic fields on GOLEM are naturally generated by:

- Unprecise positioning of toroidal magnetic field coils.
- The electric current in the conducting vacuum vessel during the avalanche phase of the discharge.

In an ideal case, the perpendicular components of the magnetic field have to be precisely compensated by external poloidal coils to achieve optimum breakdown. This is not the case of GOLEM at a basic operational level discussed here. However, experimental analysis of stray magnetic fields and their impact on breakdown would require extra effort exceeding the scope of this contribution.

### *3.2 Discharge duration*

The dependence of discharge duration on working gas pressure is plotted in Figure 7.



Figure 7. Duration of a discharge versus the pressure of the working gas for "*clean*" and "*dirty*" vessel plotted for *all* values of the charging voltage of the condenser bank used for powering the primary winding of the GOLEM transformer, U<sub>CD</sub>.

The dependence of the discharge duration on the status of the inner wall of the GOLEM vessel is evident. It is seen that for p > 13 mPa, the duration of discharges for a well-conditioned vessel is longer by a factor of 2 than with the "*dirty*" one. On the other hand, only a weak dependence on pressure and the charging voltage U<sub>CD</sub> is observed. The duration of the discharge is limited by quality of the iron core transformer of GOLEM, which is designed to transfer maximum magnetic flux  $\Phi_{MAX} = 0.12$  Vs. Above this value, the transformer core becomes oversaturated and cannot transfer the power from the primary circuit to the plasma loop. The magnetic flux consumed by the iron core transformer is easily calculated as the time integral of the loop voltage,

$$\Phi(T) = \int_0^T U_{loop} dt \qquad [Vs]$$

The temporal evolution of the loop voltage for two discharges is plotted in Figure 8.



Figure 8. Evolution of the loop voltage and the consumed magnetic flux in the GOLEM transformer for "*clean*" (red) and "*dirty*" (blue) vessel.

It is seen that the discharges terminate when the consumed magnetic flux approaches  $\Phi = 0.8-0.1$  Vs, which is close to the limit maximum magnetic flux  $\Phi_{max}$ . The loop voltage in "dirty" vessel discharges is significantly higher than for "clean" conditions, because of enhanced influx of impurities during the discharge and a consequent higher effective ion charge  $Z_{eff}$ . Therefore, duration of GOLEM discharges

with a "clean" vessel are always longer than those with a "dirty" vessel, and thus more suitable for physics studies.

### 3.3 Mean plasma current and loop voltage

Figure 9 compares mean values of the plasma current and the loop voltage in sessions with "clean" and "dirty" vessels.



Figure 9. Mean plasma current and the mean loop voltage versus the "*real*" charging voltage U<sub>CD</sub> – for "clean" (red symbols) and "dirty" (blue symbols) vessel.

We again observe a higher loop voltage with a "dirty" vessel, because of higher  $Z_{eff}$  and a significantly lower plasma current than in the clean one. This is because that the plasma resistivity  $R_{plasma} \sim Z_{eff}$ , is higher in this case.

## 3.4 Ohmic heating power and the electron temperature

The Ohmic heating power is calculated as the product of the plasma current and the loop voltage and its mean value is stored in the GOLEM database. Figure 10 plots this quantity for "clean" and dirty vessels.



Figure 10. 2D plot of the mean Ohmic power at different pressures and charging voltages  $U_{CD}$  for "clean" (red points) "dirty" (black points) vessel.

It is seen that the heating power delivered to plasma is almost independent on the status of the vacuum vessel – higher loop voltage and lower plasma current for the "dirty vessel and vice versa for the "clean" vessel.

A quite different situation is observed on behavior of the electron temperature, derived from Spitzer conductivity [8] as evident from Fig. 11.



Figure 11. 2D plot of the mean electron temperature at different pressures and charging voltages  $U_{CD}$ . Left – "clean" vessel, Right – "dirty" vessel.

It is evident that a significantly higher electron temperature is achieved with the well - conditioned vessel.

## 3.5 Runaway electrons

The toroidal electric field  $E_{tor} = U_{loop}/2\pi R$  is rather high on GOLEM and consequently runaway electrons are generated during a discharge. Figure 12 shows evolution of the signal of a HXR sensor (composed of a scintillator + photomultiplier) during a discharge.



Figure 12. Left - Evolution of the HXR signal (bottom panel) and its time integral (top panel). Right – Maximum energy of RE. #27664 ("clean" vessel).

Spikes in the HXR signal correspond to those runaway electrons (RE), which are lost from the plasma column and hit a first wall element of the tokamak vessel, mainly the poloidal limiter [12, 13, 14]. The amplitude of spikes is proportional to energy of runaway electrons, but the HXR sensor was not

absolutely calibrated during this experimental campaign. Nevertheless, the maximum energy of RE can be estimated under the assumption of free-fall acceleration and neglecting collisions as

$$W_{max} \sim c \int_0^{Taischarge} E_{tor} dt$$
 [eV]

Where c is the velocity of light. The right panel of Figure 12 demonstrates that REs can be accelerated on GOLEM up to several MeV.

To estimate the number of RE which are generated in a particular discharge, we integrate the HXR signal during the discharge, which is plotted in the upper panel of Figure 12.

Resulting time integrals of the HXR signal are compared in the next Figure 13 for the campaigns with the "clean" and "dirty" vessel.



Figure 13. Time integral of HXR versus plasma current for "clean" and "dirty" vessel.

As it is evident, the highest values of the integrated HXR are observed in discharges with "clean" vessel at low plasma current. This is due to the fact that the runaway electron rate is inversely proportional to the effective ion charge  $Z_{eff}$  [12].

$$S_R \approx exp - \left\{ \frac{kT_e}{m_e c^2} \left[ \frac{1}{8} \left( \frac{E_D}{E} \right) + \frac{2}{3} \left( \frac{E_D}{E} \right)^{3/2} \sqrt{1 + Z_{eff}} \right] \right\}$$

Where  $E_D$  is the Dreiser field,  $E_D \cong 4 \ 10^{-2} \left(\frac{n_e}{10^{13}}\right) \left(\frac{10^3}{T_e}\right)$  [V/cm, cm<sup>-3</sup>, eV], and E is the toroidal electric field.

## 4 Conclusions

This contribution summarizes results of systematic measurements performed on the GOLEM tokamak at two different conditions of the inner wall of the tokamak vessel. Experiments with the "dirty" vessel (discharges #27640-#27640) are performed just after evacuation of the vessel to a basic pressure of the working gas < 1 mPa. Operation under such conditions is used typically to demonstrate tokamak operation to students (either present at the tokamak, or operating remotely from abroad), commissioning new diagnostics etc. Operation with a "clean" vessel is used for experiments which should yield physical results. In this case, the vessel is baked to ~200° and the cleaning glow discharge is applied (discharges #27466-#27474). Comparison of plasma performance under such conditions is thereby used useful. We focus on comparison of selected plasma parameters which are available in the GOLEM database just after executing the discharge at *http://golem.fjfi.cvut.cz/shots/# shot number/*:

 <u>Loop voltage</u> – is significantly lower with the "clean" vessel because of a reduction of impurity influx during the discharge and consequent a lower effective ion charge Z<sub>eff</sub> than with the "dirty" vessel.

- <u>Discharge duration</u> is noticeably longer with the "clean" vessel by a factor of two than with the "dirty" vessel because of lower loop voltage. Consequently, the saturation of the iron core transformer of GOLEM, which terminates the discharge occurs later.
- <u>Ohmic power</u> delivered to plasma is almost independent on the status of the vessel, because the plasma current is higher and the loop voltage is lower with the "clean" vessel and vice versa for the dirty vessel.
- <u>Mean electron temperature</u> is significantly higher with the "clean" vessel. This also implies an improvement of electron confinement time  $t_{eE} \sim T_e * ne/P_{OH}$ , because the electron density as well as the the ohmic power seem to be almost independent of the status of the vessel.
- <u>The breakdown voltage</u> is independent on the status of the vessel, because the plasma wall interaction is negligible during this phase of the discharge, and impurities don't play any role for breakdown of the working gas.

Comparison of plasma performance is shown in Figure 14 to demonstrate what was mentioned above for two typical discharges with the "clean" and "dirty" vessel.



Figure 14. Summary comparison of plasma performance with "dirty vessel" (#27489) and "clean vessel" (#27658).

We also compare behaviour of HXR emission, which is related to generation of runaway electrons. We achieved interesting results showing higher HXR activity with the "clean" vessel. However, understanding of this behaviour would require more experiments and improvement of diagnostics.

In summary, this contribution tries to provide guidelines for future students and young physicist for an efficient operation of the GOLEM either from in-house or remotely.

In future, we plan to perform similar analysis of plasma performance with Helium working gas.

# References

[1] V. Svoboda, G. Pokol, D. I. Réfy, J. Stöckel, G. Vondrášek Former tokamak CASTOR becomes remotely controllable GOLEM at the Czech Technical University in Prague, 37th EPS Conference on Plasma Physics, Dublin, June 2010

[2] Stockel J, Badalec J, Duran I, Hron M, Horacek J, Jakubka K, Kryska L, Petrzilka J, Zacek F, Heller MVP, Brazilio ZA, Caldas IL: Magnetic and electrostatic fluctuations in the CASTOR tokamak, Plasma Phys Contr. Fusion, 41 A577-A585 Suppl. 3A 1999

[3] J Stöckel, J Adamek, P Balan, O Bilyk, J Brotankova, R Dejarnac, P Devynck, I Duran, J P Gunn, M Hron, J Horacek, C Ionita, M Kocan, E Martines, R Panek, P Peleman, R Schrittwieser, G Van Oost, F Zacek, Advanced probes for edge plasma diagnostics on the CASTOR tokamak, Journal of Physics, Conference Series, 63 (2007), 012001

[4] V Svoboda, A Dvornova, R Dejarnac, M Prochazka, S Zaprianov, R Akhmethanov, M Bogdanova, M Dimitrova, Zh Dimitrov, O Grover, L Hlavata, K Ivanov, K Kruglov, P Marinova, P Masherov, A Mogulkin, J Mlynar, J Stockel, A Volynets, Remote operation of the GOLEM tokamak with hydrogen and helium plasmas, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 768, (2016) 012002, doi:10.1088/1742-6596/768/1/012002

[5] T. Odstrcil, M. Odstrcil, O Grower, V, Svoboda, I. Duran, J. Mlynar, Low cost alternative of high speed visible light camera for tokamak experiments, Rev. Sci. Instrum. **83**, 10E505 (2012); http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4731003

[6] M. Gryaznevich, V. Svoboda, J. Stockel, A. Sykes, N. Sykes, D. Kingham, G. Hammond, P. Apte, T.N. Todd, S. Ball, S. Chappell, Z. Melhem, I. Ďuran, K. Kovarik, O. Grover, T. Markovic, M. Odstrcil, T. Odstrcil, A. Sindlery, G. Vondrasek, J. Kocman, M.K. Lilley, P. de Grouchy, H.-T. Kim, Progress in application of high temperature superconductor in tokamak magnets, Fusion Engineering and Design, 88(9–10):1593-1596, 2013. ISSN 0920-3796, 10.1016/j.fusengdes.2013.01.101. (http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0920379613001117)

[7] V. Svoboda, B. Huang, J. Mlynar, G.I. Pokol, J. Stöckel, G. Vondrasek, Multi-mode remote participation on the GOLEM tokamak, Fusion Engineering and Design 86 (2011) 1310–1314
[8] J Brotankova, PhD thesis,

http://golem.fjfi.cvut.cz/wiki/Library/GOLEM/PhDthesis/JanaBrotankovaPhDthesis.pdf

[9] J Wesson, Tokamaks, Clarendon press – Oxford, Second edition, p.169

[10] Prinzler H, Heymann P, Stockel J, Babalec J, Zacek F, Jakubka K, Kopecky V.: Investigation of the start up phase in the TM-1-MH tokamak, Czech. J. Phys 34, (7) 665-679 1984

[11] See e.g. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Paschen%27s\_law

[12] <u>Lloyd, B; Carolan, PG; Warrick, CD</u>, ECRH-assisted start-up in ITER, PLASMA PHYSICS AND CONTROLLED FUSION, Volume: 38, Issue: 9 Pages: 1627-1643. DOI: 10.1088/0741-3335/38/9/007

[13] O. Ficker, O. Grover, J. Kocman, J. Krbec, V. Loffeman, T. Markovic, M. Matusu, J. Stockel, V. Svoboda, J. Veverka, G. Vondrasek, Tokamak GOLEM for fusion education chapter 5, EPS 41<sup>st</sup> Conference on Plasma Physics, Berlin June 2014, P4.141

[14] H. Knoepfel and D.A. Spong 1979 Nucl. Fusion 19 785

[15] O. Ficker: Generation, losses and detection of runaway electrons in tokamaks. Diploma thesis, Czech Technical University, 1985. online:

https://physics.fjfi.cvut.cz/publications/FTTF/DP\_Ondrej\_Ficker.pdf