Overview of ECRH experimental results

Brian Lloyd

UKAEA/Euratom Fusion Association, UKAEA Fusion, Culham Science Centre, Abingdon, Oxon OX14 3DB, UK

Received 23 January 1998

Abstract. A review of the present status of electron cyclotron heating and current drive experiments in toroidal fusion devices is presented. In addition to basic heating and current drive studies the review also addresses advances in wave physics and the application of electron cyclotron waves for instability control, transport studies, pre-ionization/start-up assist, etc. A comprehensive overview is given with particular emphasis on recent advances since the major review of Erckmann and Gasparino (1994) (*Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion* **36** 1869), including results from the latest generation of high-power, high-frequency experiments.

1. Introduction

Electron cyclotron resonance heating (ECRH) is a highly efficient, flexible, localized and controllable heating scheme for which the physics of wave propagation and absorption is reasonably well understood. The location of the power deposition in toroidal devices may be controlled by adjustment of the toroidal field or by poloidal and/or toroidal steering of the launched beam at fixed magnetic field. In addition, recent advances in the development of frequency tunable sources offer further flexibility. Transmitted power measurements and power deposition studies in a number of devices have confirmed the predictions of linear wave absorption theory. Furthermore, in recent years, the installation of high-frequency ECRH systems with good beam focusing and steerability has led to confirmation of the rather subtle aspects of the theory (section 2). Sophisticated codes have been developed, which accurately describe present experiments and provide a reliable predictive capability for future devices.

Effective plasma heating has been demonstrated over many years in mirrors, tokamaks and stellarators and reliable access to modes with improved confinement, like the socalled H-mode, is achieved in present day devices. Plasmas with negative central shear have been established by off-axis ECRH. Electron cyclotron current drive (ECCD) has been demonstrated in both tokamaks and stellarators with an efficiency which is generally observed to be in good agreement with theoretical predictions. The localized nature of the wave–plasma interaction has been exploited to good effect for local transport studies and for the control of various instabilities occurring in tokamak plasmas including sawteeth, tearing modes, locked modes and edge-localized modes (ELMs). The flexibility of electron cyclotron systems, including the capability to modulate the source power at high frequency, has allowed sophisticated instability feedback control applications to be investigated. ECRH pre-ionization and assisted start-up is an established and robust

0741-3335/98/SA0119+20\$19.50 © 1998 IOP Publishing Ltd

A119

technique which has been demonstrated in a large number of tokamaks and ECRH is employed routinely for plasma production in stellarators. ECRH discharge cleaning is one of the wall conditioning methods being considered for ITER. The effectiveness of ECRH discharge cleaning has been demonstrated in a number of devices (Jotaki and Itoh 1997 and references therein).

The properties of electron cyclotron wave absorption and propagation make electron cyclotron heating and current drive an attractive scheme for Next Step devices such as ITER. Strong single pass damping is expected and electron cyclotron waves have the potential to provide significant current drive capability, both in the core and off-axis. Furthermore, there is no damping on alpha particles and the ECRH power densities encountered in ITER are much less than those estimated to be necessary for nonlinear effects to set in (Harvey *et al* 1997). Electron cyclotron systems also possess a number of attractive technological features for present and Next Step devices. Since the waves propagate in vacuum, the launcher does not have to be in close proximity to the plasma and there are no requirements on plasma edge control. As a result, no specific impurity generation problems have generally been encountered, even at heating power densities far in excess of those that might be employed in Next Step devices. High-power densities can be transmitted at the antenna, for example allowing 50 MW to be injected through a single ITER port if necessary.

Several review papers on ECRH have been published in the recent past (e.g. Prater 1990, Alikaev *et al* 1992a, Erckmann and Gasparino 1994). The present brief overview will attempt to summarize the status of ECRH experiments in toroidal devices with special emphasis on recent advances since the rather comprehensive review of Erckmann and Gasparino and will include results from the latest generation of high-power experiments just reaching fruition. The status of ECRH technology is being reviewed by Tran 1998.

2. Advances in basic physics

Two mechanisms are responsible for electron cyclotron absorption (Bornatici et al 1983):

(i) the interaction of an elliptically polarized electric field rotating in the same direction as electrons with a frequency close to the electron gyro-frequency or one of its harmonics;

(ii) the Lorentz force arising from the interaction of the parallel (to the static magnetic field) electron velocity with the wave magnetic field.

The resonance condition for the *l*th harmonic is

$$\omega - k_{\parallel} v_{\parallel} = l \omega_{\rm ce} / \gamma \tag{1}$$

where ω_{ce} is the cyclotron frequency, γ is the relativistic mass factor, k_{\parallel} is the parallel wavenumber and v_{\parallel} is the parallel velocity. Note that equation (1) may be satisfied for $\omega > l\omega_{ce}$ (upshifted absorption) or $\omega < l\omega_{ce}$ (downshifted absorption). Electron cyclotron wave propagation and absorption is generally well described by linear theory. Direct measurements of power transmitted through the plasma in a single pass are usually in good agreement with ray tracing calculations. Such measurements reflect not only the resonant absorption but also non-resonant losses (e.g. refraction, scattering) which can be identified by operation at a non-resonant magnetic field. Refraction losses can be significant (van Gelder *et al* 1997) but scattering by edge density turbulence typically reduces the power in the beam by only a few per cent (van Gelder *et al* 1997, Roberts 1995). Refraction and absorption measurements for central ordinary mode (OM) heating at the fundamental resonance in RTP (Smits *et al* 1992) and also in TEXT-U (Roberts 1995) are in good agreement with theory except for densities close to cut-off.

In RTP during high-power ECRH (fundamental OM, low-field-side (LFS) injection), at low-density, the electron cyclotron absorption (ECA) diagnostic exhibits asymmetric absorption with respect to the toroidal launch angle which is attributed to superthermal electrons accelerated by the dc electric field (Peeters *et al* 1995). The experimental observations are reproduced by Fokker–Planck calculations and confirm the upshifted/downshifted nature of the cyclotron resonance interaction for energetic electrons. Upshifted/downshifted electron cyclotron absorption has been demonstrated in many ECRH experiments and has also been observed in combined ECRH + LHCD (lower hybrid current drive) experiments in JFT-2M (Kawashima *et al* 1991) and WT-3 (Tanaka *et al* 1992).

Specific experiments were performed in Tore Supra (Segui *et al* 1996) to measure the optical thickness of the third-harmonic extraordinary mode (XM) for vertical propagation, i.e. the direction of constant cyclotron frequency, over the full-frequency spectrum. For frequencies above and below the resonant range the signal level is determined by refraction. Comparison of the data with ray tracing/absorption calculations provided a direct validation of linear relativistic theory. Furthermore, at low plasma currents the spectra exhibited a peak close to $3\omega_{ce}$ on the high-frequency side of the resonance which could be attributed to hot plasma corrections to the real part of the refractive index which affect ray propagation.

The agreement of the measured electron cyclotron absorption with theory is sufficiently robust that ECA has become an established diagnostic technique (Segui and Giruzzi 1994, Segui *et al* 1995, van Gelder *et al* 1995, Giruzzi *et al* 1995, Boyd *et al* 1995, Michelot *et al* 1996) providing information not only on bulk plasma parameters but also on superthermal electron behaviour, for example during LHCD.

Transmitted power measurements give an indication of the single-pass absorption. The total multi-pass absorption may be estimated from measurements of the rate of change of plasma stored energy at power turn-on or turn-off. Such measurements often indicate a total absorption somewhat less than predicted. The 'missing power' may be associated with changes in stored magnetic energy, power absorption by energetic electrons (if power transfer to the bulk occurs on a slow timescale or if the energetic electrons are lost), an immediate change in confinement etc. The ECRH power deposition profile may be derived from modulated ECRH experiments, but high modulation frequency is required in order to minimize transport effects (see section 3) and this in turn imposes significant demands on diagnostic resolution. This is especially true for the latest generation of high-power, high-frequency ECRH experiments with good beam focusing and steerability. The peakpower deposition was measured to be in good agreement with modelling in ASDEX-U 140 GHz (Leuterer et al 1997) and in DIII-D 110 GHz experiments (Luce et al 1997) but in both cases it was not possible to measure the deposition profile accurately with the available diagnostic resolution. Estimates of the power deposition profile in FTU 140 GHz experiments confirmed the very localized nature of the absorption (Cirant et al 1995). Due to the strong localized absorption, in this case, the local ECRH heating power density is 10 to 20 times higher than the ohmic one and during core heating of sawtoothing discharges this manifests itself as a dramatic change in the sawtooth slope (figure 1).

Kinetic effects can be important in low-density, high-power ECRH discharges and the electron distribution function can depart significantly from Maxwellian. In the W7-AS stellarator the power deposition profile is estimated from the analysis of heat wave propagation stimulated by modulated ECRH (Rome *et al* 1997). In general, peaked deposition profiles, as predicted by a ray tracing code, are obtained but with an additional much broader contribution which is attributed to the radial transport of locally trapped superthermal electrons. The effect may be simulated using a convective Fokker–Planck model which simulates the radial ∇B drift of the toroidally trapped superthermal electrons



Figure 1. Electron temperature evolution during a sawtoothing discharge with ECRH (140 GHz) starting at 700.75 ms in FTU (Cirant *et al* 1995).

generated by ECRH. When the broader component of power deposition is taken into account, no indication of 'missing power' was found. Note, in general, that a broadened deposition profile can also arise in devices in which the experimental conditions do not lead to single-pass absorption. This is due to the fact that after multiple reflections, power may be resonantly absorbed at off-axis positions along the resonant layer.

Nonlinear phenomena or parametric processes may be observed if the amplitude of the driving oscillation becomes large, for example in the vicinity of the upper hybrid resonance (UHR) where the electric field of the XM becomes strongly enhanced (Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein). In the MTX tokamak, where a 140 GHz free electron laser was used to inject ultra high-power pulses > 1 GW for \sim 20 ns, a reduction in absorption in accordance with nonlinear theory was observed (Allen *et al* 1994).

Wave penetration is generally observed to be limited by the predicted cold plasma 'cutoffs', although for central densities above cut-off peripheral absorption may still be possible and can lead to efficient heating under some circumstances. A possibility for overcoming the density limit is the O-X-B conversion process proposed by Preinhaelter and Kopecky (1973). For propagation close to an optimum angle the obliquely launched OM converts to the XM in the vicinity of the OM cut-off, which then converts to an electron Bernstein wave (EBW) near the UHR. For the EBW, a density limit does not exist and it can propagate to the plasma centre where it is absorbed near the electron cyclotron resonance layer or in the non-resonant case (when the UHR fully encloses the plasma core) by collisional multiple pass damping. Efficient O–X–B heating at densities above the plasma cut-off was clearly demonstrated (figure 2) for resonant and non-resonant fields in the stellarator W7-AS (Laqua et al 1997). Both the angular dependence of the OM \rightarrow XM conversion (figure 2(b)) and the parametric instability which typically accompanies $XM \rightarrow EBW$ conversion could be experimentally verified. This experiment represents an excellent test of hot plasma wave theory. The possibility of using the O-X-B conversion scheme in the spherical tokamak NSTX is being considered (Batchelor and Bigelow 1997).



Figure 2. Heating by O–X–B conversion in the stellarator W7-AS (Laqua *et al* 1997). (a) Calculated ray trajectory and relative beam power for resonant heating (the *z* coordinate lies along the magnetic field direction) showing successive conversion of the launched ordinary wave (O-mode) to the extraordinary wave (X-mode) and then to the electron Bernstein wave (B-mode) which is strongly damped. (b) Increase of plasma energy content against the longitudinal vacuum refractive index N_z (related directly to launch angle) of the incident ordinary wave for non-resonant heating. The full curve is the transmission function (OM \rightarrow XM conversion efficiency) multiplied by the maximum energy increase.

3. Heating and confinement

Effective electron cyclotron heating has been demonstrated in many devices. The localized and controllable nature of the absorption, together with the fact that the initial energy transfer is exclusively to electrons, mean that ECRH is a well known input quantity for

A124 B Lloyd

transport investigations. In several respects, namely the power deposition on electrons, the absence of particle or momentum injection, and the potential for centrally peaked heating, ECRH resembles alpha particle heating. At high densities ions are heated by collisional energy transfer (Cirant et al 1996). Many devices exhibit a strong peaking of the electron temperature profile with on-axis ECRH, as indicated for example (figure 3) in initial DIII-D 110 GHz experiments (Chan et al 1997), indicating good local energy confinement in the core. Transport analyses of FTU plasmas, with high-power density ECRH at 140 GHz, in which high peak electron temperatures and very large temperature gradients up to 40 keV m^{-1} were generated (Buratti *et al* 1995), showed that the electron thermal diffusivity was no worse than ohmic. Global energy confinement in ECRH L-mode discharges in DIII-D was shown to be consistent with the ITER89-P empirical L-mode scaling law (Luce et al 1991). A confinement degradation with power $\tau_{\rm E} \sim P^{-0.5}$ has also been confirmed in recent experiments in TCV (Pochelon et al 1997) as well as the benefit of increased elongation (figure 4) but a density dependence stronger than predicted by ITER89-P was observed (Pochelon et al 1998). Again a similar power degradation was observed in T-10 (Alikaev et al 1987) but the scaling of energy confinement time with density (plasma current) was stronger (weaker) than predicted by ITER89-P. In RTP, improved confinement is observed at low density and plasma current but generally $\tau_{\rm E}$ is somewhat less than predicted by ITER89-P (Konings et al 1994).



Figure 3. Electron temperature profile during initial 110 GHz experiments ($n_e = 0.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) in DIII-D (Chan *et al* 1997).

ECRH experiments in RTP, with high-resolution Thomson scattering, have revealed evidence for small-scale magnetic structures (Lopes Cardozo *et al* 1994). The measured electron temperature and pressure profiles exhibit several distinct features, namely hot filaments in the core, a steep gradient (up to 200 keV m⁻¹) at the sawtooth inversion radius indicative of a strong transport barrier and quasi-periodic structures outside the sawtooth region (figure 5). Measurements at ECRH turn-off indicate that the filaments have a lifetime of several hundred microseconds. Studies with off-axis ECRH (De Baar *et al* 1997) revealed the existence of transport barriers in the vicinity of other rational q surfaces besides q = 1.



Figure 4. Scaling of the electron energy confinement time with power (a) and density (b) during ECRH (82.7 GHz) in TCV (Pochelon *et al* 1998). The power scaling is shown for q = 5 and two different densities $(2 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3} \text{ and } 3 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3})$. The density scaling is shown for two different elongations ($\kappa = 1.16$ and $\kappa = 1.32$).

As a result, the plasma response is extremely sensitive to the location of power deposition in the vicinity of the transport barriers and the existence of the transport barriers can lead to the appearance of a bifurcation in the confinement.

Steady-state hollow electron temperature profiles have been sustained in RTP with strong off-axis ECRH in high-density plasmas creating a region of reversed magnetic shear inside which the electron thermal diffusivity is close to neoclassical (Hogeweij *et al* 1996a). At low densities ($n_{e0} < 3.5 \times 10^{19} \text{ m}^{-3}$) the temperature profile is flat inside the radius of power deposition. These results are in contrast to the so-called 'inward heat pinch' observed in DIII-D (Petty and Luce 1994) although, as in DIII-D, there was evidence for



Figure 5. Temperature profiles during ECRH in RTP (60 GHz) showing filamentation in the core and strong temperature gradients near the sawtooth inversion radius. Case (c) is an averaged profile of 15 discharges with identical conditions (Lopes Cardozo *et al* 1994).

a heat flow against the temperature gradient. In the DIII-D experiments the inward energy flow returns via the ion channel and the different behaviour in RTP and DIII-D might be attributable to the different collisionality regimes in the two experiments (Hogeweij *et al* 1996b). The interpretation of such experimental data depends critically on an accurate knowledge of the electron–ion coupling which is often hindered by the relative inaccuracy of ion temperature measurements. The response to off-axis deposition in the stellarator W7-AS is also very different to that in DIII-D (Renner *et al* 1989) but preliminary experiments in TdeV do indicate the possibility of an inward heat pinch (Demers *et al* 1997). Transient shear reversal configurations have been established by heating during the current ramp up in FTU (Barbato *et al* 1997). Very high central electron temperatures, up to $T_{e0} \sim 9$ keV, were obtained with only 300 kW of injected power (140 GHz) and very low electron thermal diffusivities ($\chi_e \sim 0.2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ s}^{-1}$) were inferred in the plasma core. In COMPASS-D (Valovic *et al* 1997) high-power heating at the end of the current ramp has been successfully employed to establish quasi-stationary single null divertor discharges with high normalized beta ($\beta_N \sim 2$). With heating later in the discharge in COMPASS-D, the achievable beta is limited by the onset of neoclassical tearing modes (Gates *et al* 1997).

ECRH has been used as a perturbative technique to study heat transport in plasmas on many devices (Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein, Deliyanakis et al 1994, Erckmann et al 1995b, Hartfuss et al 1994, Jacchia et al 1994, Peters et al 1995, Mantica et al 1996, Stroth et al 1996, Hogeweij et al 1997, Ryter et al 1997a, b). Such studies yield incremental quantities and in principle allow diffusive and convective terms to be distinguished. Comparison with transport coefficients derived from the steadystate power balance gives information on the scaling of the coefficients. Of course, in general the incremental and steady-state transport coefficients should not necessarily be equal. Although perturbative transport studies may be carried out using sawteeth, ECRH allows the introduction of a much more controllable local temperature perturbation with a well controlled deposition region, repetition frequency and amplitude. On the other hand, incomplete single-pass absorption can lead to broadened deposition and an overestimate of the thermal diffusivity χ . In ASDEX-U, the thermal diffusivity derived from ECRH modulation (χ^{ECRH}) correlates well with power balance values (χ^{PB}) with $\chi^{\text{ECRH}} \leq 2\chi^{\text{PB}}$, whereas there is little correlation between χ^{PB} and the thermal diffusivity derived from sawteeth (χ^{ST}) as seen in figure 6 (Ryter *et al* 1997a). The steady-state and incremental electron thermal diffusivities are generally comparable in the stellarator W7-AS (Hartfuss et al 1994) and the observed power degradation is not consistent with the thermal diffusivity being a function of local parameters only; in this case all data can be consistently described if χ is allowed to vary almost instantaneously in a non-local way with heating power (Stroth et al 1996). This model also provides a satisfactory description of RTP data but a local model can match the data equally well provided the heat flux comprises inward and outward terms (Hogeweij et al 1997).

Reliable access to the H-mode with ECRH has been demonstrated in many tokamaks (Lohr et al 1988, Hoshino et al 1988, Roberts et al 1996, Fielding et al 1996, Demers et al 1998) and in the W7-AS stellarator (Erckmann et al 1993). In DIII-D the power threshold for the transition from L-mode to H-mode was found to be about the same for ECRH H-modes as for NBI H-modes (Lohr et al 1988) and the improvement in confinement above L-mode scaling was a factor of two (Prater et al 1989). Extensive H-mode studies have been carried out in COMPASS-D at both the fundamental and second harmonic. The H-mode threshold power was found to increase sharply at low density (Fielding et al 1998) departing significantly from the ITER97 power threshold scaling $P_{\rm th} \sim (n_e B_\phi)^{0.8}$ (Snipes 1997). At the highest densities the observed threshold power was close to the ITER97 predictions. Similarly in TEXT-U, the threshold power was found to exceed ITER database predictions at low density by a factor of more than $\times 3$ (Roberts *et al* 1996) and in preliminary TdeV experiments a threshold density is observed below which the H-mode cannot be achieved with the available power (Demers et al 1998). In both JFT-2M (Hoshino et al 1989) and COMPASS-D (Fielding et al 1998) the threshold power was reduced for off-axis heating compared with on-axis heating. An edge temperature threshold for the H-mode transition was inferred in JFT-2M (Hoshino et al 1988). Confinement in fundamental ECRH ELMy



Figure 6. Electron thermal diffusivities derived from sawtooth and ECRH heat pulse studies (χ^{HP}) and steady-state power balance (χ^{PB}) for ohmic and L-mode discharges in ASDEX-U (Ryter *et al* 1997a).

H-mode discharges in COMPASS-D lies somewhat below ($\times 0.5$ –0.8) the predictions of the ITER scaling laws 0.85xITER93H and ITERH97-P (Cordey 1997) if full power absorption is assumed, whereas ohmic H-mode confinement is in good agreement with the ITER scaling laws (Fielding *et al* 1998). H-modes in the stellarator W7-AS display many of the characteristics associated with tokamak H-modes (Wagner *et al* 1994). However, there were no strong isotope effects and H-modes were only observed for a very narrow operational range in rotational transform. A density threshold is observed below which H-modes are not observed with the available power.

Density 'pump-out' or 'clamping' during ECRH is commonly observed in both tokamaks and stellarators (Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein) including recent high-frequency experiments in TCV (Pochelon et al 1998) and TdeV (Demers et al 1998). However, this phenomenon was not observed in DIII-D or in initial FTU experiments (Cirant et al 1995). High-power core ECRH typically leads to the generation of flat or hollow central density profiles although the overall effect on the line-averaged density is often relatively small. The pump-out effect is still evident when ECRH is combined with neutral beam injection (NBI) (Erckmann et al 1995a) or LHCD (Warrick et al 1995). As a result, ECRH has been used as an effective tool for density control in NBI-heated discharges in W7-AS (Erckmann et al 1995a) with no significant reduction in the global energy confinement with the addition of ECRH. Quantitative analysis is usually difficult because of uncertainties over recycling coefficients and neutral density profiles and, as a result, the underlying physical processes are still not understood. In some cases there appears to be evidence that the density behaviour is linked to pressure profile resilience (van Gelder et al 1998). In JFT-2M (Nagashima et al 1995) the measured temporal behaviour of the density perturbations produced during pulsed and modulated ECRH was well described by numerical simulations incorporating a local ECRH-induced outward particle flux. In stellarators the loss of energetic trapped electrons is often thought to play a key role (Zushi *et al* 1994, Idei *et al* 1995) and transitions to improved particle confinement have been observed in some circumstances (Zushi *et al* 1994). There is also a clear indication in several devices of reduced impurity confinement during ECRH (Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein) which may be of great value for long-pulse or cw operation in future devices. During ECRH in COMPASS-D the impurity confinement is impaired relative to that during ohmic (L-mode) plasmas by about a factor of two (Peacock *et al* 1994).

4. Electron cyclotron current drive

ECCD relies on the generation of an asymmetric resistivity due to the selective heating of electrons moving in a particular toroidal direction (Fisch and Boozer 1980). Strongly upshifted or downshifted absorption, where the wave interacts with energetic electrons, is advantageous for high-current drive efficiency and in the high-energy limit the expected ECCD efficiency approaches that of LHCD. However, a number of physical mechanisms can limit the achievable efficiency, for example incomplete single-pass absorption leading to counter-streaming currents on opposite sides of the resonance, transport losses (important in small devices), trapped particle effects etc. Interpretation of experimental data is also difficult in many cases leading to large uncertainties in estimates of the driven current $I_{\rm rf}$. Generally, $I_{\rm rf} \ll I_{\rm p}$ and the bootstrap current may also be significant. Estimates of the bootstrap current and the ohmically driven current must take account of non-Maxwellian electron distributions. The synergistic interaction between the ECCD and any residual dc electric field can lead to different inferred efficiencies for co- and counter-injection. Transient effects may also lead to errors unless the pulse length is comparable with the current redistribution time. In many respects the situation is simpler in stellarators (although trapping effects can be significant), which can therefore provide valuable input to the ECCD database.

Sophisticated Fokker–Planck codes have been developed in order to interpret experimental data (Westerhof 1995 and references therein). These codes have been benchmarked in several ways and good agreement is obtained with experimental data and between the different codes themselves (Westerhof 1995). Consequently, the codes provide a reliable predictive capability and have been exploited extensively for studies of electron cyclotron heating and current drive in ITER (Lloyd *et al* 1994, Harvey *et al* 1997, Lloyd 1997 and references therein). However, the highest experimental current drive efficiencies achieved to date remain typically a factor $\times 5$ –10 below the values predicted under optimum conditions in ITER, implying a certain degree of uncertainty in the efficiencies extrapolated to the high-temperature ITER plasma conditions.

In many early ECCD experiments the inferred current drive efficiency was reported to be well below the theoretically predicted one (Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein). The influence of transport losses on the current drive efficiency was already recognized during ECCD experiments (XM, LFS, $2\omega_{ce}$) in the CLEO tokamak (Lloyd *et al* 1988). Since the Fisch–Boozer mechanism ultimately relies on momentum transfer between electrons and ions, the current drive efficiency can be significantly reduced if electron transport losses compete on a similar timescale to collisional relaxation (O'Brien *et al* 1991). Similarly in T-10 current drive experiments (OM, LFS, ω_{ce}), good agreement with theoretical modelling was obtained by taking account of the anomalous transport of the resonant electrons (Alikaev *et al* 1992b). Nevertheless, in this case, fully non-

inductively driven discharges were obtained at low plasma current where the contribution of the bootstrap current was significant (>50%). The sustainment of a pre-existing fast electron tail by ECCD with zero loop voltage was shown at WT-2 for first-harmonic (Ando *et al* 1986) and WT-3 for second-harmonic downshifted heating (Tanaka *et al* 1991) in very low-density plasmas.

Recent current drive experiments in T-10 have been reviewed by Esipchuk (1995) (see also Alikaev *et al* 1995, Razumova *et al* 1995). Current drive efficiencies up to $\eta_{20} = n_e(\times 10^{20} \text{ m}^{-3})R_0I_{\text{rf}}/P_{\text{rf}} = 0.013 \text{ A W}^{-1} \text{ m}^{-2}$ were obtained at the second harmonic (140 GHz, XM, LFS) and up to 0.033 A W⁻¹ m⁻² at the first harmonic (81.3 GHz, OM, LFS). The experimental results were generally in qualitative agreement with Fokker–Planck calculations but interpretation in some cases was complicated by the different sawtooth behaviour with co- and counter-injection and there was also evidence to suggest that nonlinear processes might be important. Transport losses and the non-optimum launch polarization were also considered in the analysis.

The effect of the residual dc electric field was confirmed in COMPASS-D (Todd et al 1993) and DIII-D (James et al 1995, Petty et al 1995) 60 GHz ECCD experiments. In both cases the data were well described by Fokker-Planck calculations which included trapping and the effects of the dc electric field. Current drive efficiencies up to η_{20} ~ 0.015 A W⁻¹ m⁻² were achieved with downshifted absorption in DIII-D (including synergistic effects) and complete non-inductive current drive was obtained with ECCD + FWCD (fast wave current drive) at low plasma current (~170 kA). Detailed kinetic and current profile measurements are available in DIII-D, allowing the non-inductive current profile to be evaluated (Forest et al 1994) and avoiding the need for co/counter comparisons to evaluate the current drive efficiency. Preliminary 110 GHz experiments (LFS, $2\omega_{ce}$) indicate a driven current of ~170 kA with corresponding efficiency η_{20} ~ 0.035-0.04 A W⁻¹ m⁻² (Luce et al 1997). Experiments in COMPASS-D have demonstrated that the beta limit is extended (figure 7), and confinement is improved, in discharges with counter-ECCD in the core against those with co-current drive (Gates et al 1995). The ECCD contribution could be adjusted using toroidally steerable antennas. The loop voltage was very close to zero in these high-beta discharges but the contribution of the bootstrap current is dominant, the plasma conductivity is very high ($\langle T_e \rangle \sim 5$ keV) and the ECCD efficiency is therefore difficult to estimate reliably.

In RTP, similar current drive efficiencies $\eta_{20} \sim 0.005$ A W⁻¹ m⁻² were inferred for fundamental (60 GHz) and second-harmonic (110 GHz) current drive (Polman *et al* 1997a, b). The driven currents were in qualitative agreement with Fokker–Planck calculations but the role of nonlinear effects remains uncertain. Preliminary secondharmonic ECCD experiments in TCV (Goodman *et al* 1998) are also in agreement with theoretical predictions.

Extensive ECCD experiments have been carried out in W7-AS, at 70 GHz and 140 GHz, and many of the results are described in the review by Erckmann and Gasparino (1994). Various scenarios have been investigated including those in which the driven current is compensated by an inductively driven current and those in which the driven current is allowed to freely develop. ECCD has also been used to compensate the bootstrap current. Excellent agreement with theory is generally obtained when the effects of trapping in the complex three-dimensional (3D) stellarator geometry are included. The role of trapping was investigated by conducting experiments in various magnetic configurations (Erckmann *et al* 1995a).

Perturbation experiments (140 GHz, XM) with oppositely directed (toroidally) ECRH beams, modulated in phase or out of phase, allowed the ECCD and bootstrap current



Figure 7. (a) Maximum poloidal beta (β_p) and normalized beta (β_N) against toroidal launch angle (with respect to the major radius) and (b) *H*-factor ($\tau_E/\tau_{\text{ITER89P}}$) against toroidal launch angle during high-power, second-harmonic ECRH/ECCD (60 GHz) in COMPASS-D (Gates *et al* 1995).

contributions to be discriminated (Erckmann *et al* 1995b). ECCD has also been used to investigate the influence of local shear modification on confinement (Erckmann *et al* 1997).

5. Instability control

The localized nature of the electron cyclotron wave–plasma interaction has been used to good effect for the control of various instabilities. Sawtooth suppression by local heating in the vicinity of q = 1 has been demonstrated in many experiments (Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein, Pochelon *et al* 1998) with powers of the order of or greater than the ohmic power P_{OH} , whereas central heating tends to be destabilizing. The experimental results are qualitatively consistent with a local flattening of the current and/or pressure profiles in the vicinity of the resonant surface. Second-harmonic electron cyclotron current drive has recently been employed for sawtooth stabilization in T-10 (Kislov *et al* 1995). Sawteeth were stabilized both with on-axis counter-ECCD and off-axis co-ECCD at a power level of ~0.5 MW.

The control of low-order internal magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) modes, particularly the m = 2, n = 1 tearing mode, is important for optimizing plasma performance and avoiding disruptions. The plasma stability may be influenced by shaping of the equilibrium current profile in the vicinity of the resonant surface or by modification of the local current density inside the island which may be optimized by modulation of the injected power in phase with the rotating island. Modulated ECCD is predicted to be more efficient than steady-state ECCD or modulated ECRH. Second-harmonic ECRH (157 GHz, perpendicular launch) and ECCD (140 GHz, oblique launch) stabilization experiments have recently been carried out in T-10 (Kislov et al 1997). In low-q discharges the m = 2, n = 1 tearing mode was partially suppressed by heating (157 GHz) in the vicinity of q = 2 (figure 8). The effect increases with absorbed ECRH power. For an absorbed power equal to 15% of the total input power the island width was reduced by 35%. The suppression was attributed to a reduction of the resistivity in the island O-point rather than an axisymmetric current density redistribution. Power deposition near the X-point cannot produce the same local perturbation because of the magnetic field line configuration, thus preventing an equivalent destabilizing effect. Therefore, joint heating of the island O- and X-points, as takes place in the case of a rotating island, is still expected to produce a favourable stabilizing effect. The effect of current drive was studied at 140 GHz. Although partial suppression was observed, there was no difference between co- and counter-current drive because of the insufficient values of driven current produced under the operating conditions of the experiment. In experiments near the density limit, ECRH was effective in extending the operational density range by \sim 15% (figure 8) practically independently of the resonance location, indicating perhaps the influence of improved global energy balance, although the stabilizing effect on m = 2activity was increased for resonance near q = 2. In earlier T-10 experiments (Savrukhin et al 1994) it was observed that density limit disruptions in plasmas with high edge q were often associated with coupling of m = 2, n = 1 and m = 1, n = 1 modes which overlap at the energy quench stage. Second-harmonic ECRH was used to suppress the m = 2activity preventing mode overlap and allowing stable operation up to higher density. Mode suppression was observed with both on- and off-axis ECRH but complete stabilization of the m = 2 activity was achieved with lower power when the ECRH was resonant just outside q = 2. ECRH switched on *during* the disruption allowed stable operation to be recovered after the energy quench. Similar results on ECRH control of the current quench have recently been reported in RTP (Salzedas et al 1998). Avoidance of radiative disruptions by core ECRH has been reported in MTX (Rice and Hooper 1994). In WT-3 (Terumichi et al 1995) the m = 2, n = 1 tearing mode was suppressed by second-harmonic heating at q = 1and sawteeth were modified by ECRH resonant at q = 2. In each case the heating had to be narrowly localized near the rational surface. Suppression was very fast, much shorter than the current diffusion time, indicating the role of mode coupling. In JFT-2M, q = 3disruptions could be avoided when second-harmonic ECRH in the vicinity of the q = 2rational surface was used to suppress m = 2 activity (Hoshino *et al* 1995). As in the case of T-10 low-q experiments, the stabilizing effect was attributed to direct island heating. Mode locking and disruption at the density limit could also be avoided by ECRH, with power levels greater than $\sim 20\% P_{OH}$, leading to an increase in the operating density range of 10–15%. In contrast to T-10, there was no improvement unless the ECRH resonance was located close to q = 2.

Feedback pulse modulation of the ECRH power, resonant at the q = 2 surface and synchronized to the rotating 2, 1 island, was also successfully employed in JFT-2M (Hoshino *et al* 1995). O-point heating was effective in partially suppressing the 2, 1 tearing mode whereas X-point heating had no effect (figure 9). However, the effectiveness of the



Figure 8. Mode suppression and extension of the density limit in T-10 (Kislov *et al* 1997). (a) Amplitude of m = 2 perturbation against toroidal magnetic field and thus ECRH resonance position (q = 2.7, $P_{\text{ECRH}} = 0.2$ MW, 157 GHz); (b) Maximum line-averaged density against ECRH resonance position (q = 4, $P_{\text{ECRH}} = 0.2$ MW, 157 GHz).

modulated O-point heating was only comparable with that achievable with unmodulated ECRH. Similar experiments were carried out in COMPASS-D (McArdle *et al* 1995) where the feedback system could track rapid changes in mode frequency while maintaining a constant programmable phase relationship between the ECRH pulse and the rotating 2, 1,



Figure 9. Suppression of the m = 2, n = 1 mode in JFT-2M by O-point heating using modulated ECRH. The change in mode amplitude is plotted against the phase relationship between the rotating mode and the modulated ECRH pulse (Hoshino *et al* 1995).

island. Effective stabilization was observed for power deposited close to q = 2 in both modulated and unmodulated cases. Although there were some indications of phase sensitive effects, the results were inconclusive regarding the benefits of modulation.

Second-harmonic heating resonant close to q = 2 was also used in COMPASS-D to remove or prevent error-field driven locked modes (Morris *et al* 1995). The results were insensitive to the relative toroidal phase relationship between the locked mode and the ECRH antennas (i.e. X-point against O-point heating). Suppression of locked modes in low-density and low-q discharges has been demonstrated with central ECRH in T-10 (Ivanov *et al* 1995) for power levels >0.5 MW. In WT-3 (Maekawa *et al* 1997), second-harmonic ECRH was effective in preventing LHCD-induced mode locking thereby avoiding disruption. The results were not sensitive to the resonance location in this case.

ELM control using ECRH has been demonstrated in DIII-D (Luxon *et al* 1990) and COMPASS-D (Morris *et al* 1995). In DIII-D the ELM frequency was increased or decreased depending on whether the power was deposited inside or outside the separatrix. In COMPASS-D, ECRH just inside the separatrix resulted in a decrease in ELM frequency for a wide range of conditions (or triggered a transition to ELM-free H-mode) which may simply reflect the commonly observed frequency variation of type-III ELMs with power (moving the resonance towards the plasma core delayed the frequency change).

6. Pre-ionization and start-up

ECRH has been used effectively for pre-ionization and start-up assist in many tokamaks and is used routinely for plasma production in stellarators (Lloyd *et al* 1991, Erckmann and Gasparino 1994 and references therein; Yao *et al* 1994, Zhang *et al* 1995, Abrakov *et al* 1997). In tokamaks the confinement is poor during start-up, which as a consequence is very sensitive to stray magnetic fields, whereas in stellarators the external coils provide magnetic confinement during breakdown. In early tokamak experiments, ECRH preionization typically led to a reduction of $\times 2-5$ in the initial loop voltage together with a smaller reduction in the overall flux consumption during the current rise phase. Features common to many of these early experiments include an insensitivity to the wave launching scenario (i.e. inboard/outboard launch, XM/OM polarization), effective pre-ionization at the second-harmonic and a weak dependence on the resonance location. The effective use of the OM for pre-ionization has been attributed to the depolarizing effect of wall reflections and this scheme will be exploited in ITER (Lloyd *et al* 1996). In small devices the UHR plays a key role during start-up (Whaley *et al* 1992, Polman *et al* 1992). After initial breakdown at the cyclotron resonance layer the dominant interaction becomes linear mode conversion of the XM to the electron Bernstein wave (EBW) at the UHR. The EBW is strongly absorbed in the region between the UHR and the cyclotron resonance layer. In larger devices, absorption at the cyclotron resonance layer becomes the dominant mechanism. Various detailed theoretical descriptions of ECRH pre-ionization in tokamaks have been developed (Maroli and Petrillo 1988, Pereverzev 1989, Fidone and Granata 1994).

In ITER, where the applied electric field is limited to ~ 0.3 V m⁻¹, ECRH may be necessary to provide robust and reliable start-up. A systematic series of experiments was carried out on DIII-D in order to study low-voltage start-up with and without ECRH to provide data which could be readily extrapolated to ITER (Lloyd *et al* 1991). It was shown that for low-voltage start-up ECRH not only leads to improved start-up reliability, but permits operation over a greatly extended range of prefill pressure and stray magnetic field and leads to reduced runaway generation. Prompt ECRH-assisted start-up with an electric field of ~ 0.15 V m⁻¹ was demonstrated. For ECRH-assisted start-up in ITER, the power and pulse length requirements will be essentially determined by the need to ensure burnthrough, i.e. complete ionization of hydrogen and the transition to high-ionization states of impurities. Detailed modelling of the burnthrough phase in ITER (Lloyd *et al* 1996) has indicated that an *absorbed* ECRH power of ~ 3 MW will ensure reasonably robust start-up for a broad range of conditions with up to 5% beryllium impurity.

7. Summary and conclusions

Effective heating and current drive using electron cyclotron waves has been demonstrated in many devices. The physics of wave propagation and absorption is well understood and detailed aspects of the theory have been confirmed in the latest generation of highfrequency experiments with good beam focusing and steerability. Present experiments are well described by sophisticated Fokker–Planck codes which provide a reasonably reliable predictive capability for future devices. Nevertheless, the highest current drive efficiencies achieved so far are a factor $\times 5$ –10 below those expected in ITER because of the relatively low temperatures in present devices. The localized and controllable nature of the wave– particle interaction has been extensively exploited for perturbative transport studies and for the control of various instabilities. Furthermore, ECRH has been used effectively for pre-ionization and start-up assist in many devices. The latest generation of experiments further confirm that ECRH is a highly efficient, controllable and flexible auxiliary heating and current drive system with the potential to fulfil many functions in Next Step devices.

Acknowledgments

This work was jointly funded by the UK Department of Trade and Industry and Euratom. The author is extremely grateful for the material provided by the many contributors to this review.

References

Abrakov V V et al 1997 Nucl. Fusion 37 233 Alikaev V V et al 1987 Sov. J. Plasma Phys. 13 1 -1992a High-Frequency Plasma Heating (New York: American Institute of Physics) -1992b Nucl. Fusion 32 1811 -1995 Nucl. Fusion 35 369 Allen S L et al 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 72 1348 Ando A et al 1986 Phys. Rev. Lett. 56 2180 Barbato E et al 1997 Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part III, p 1161 Batchelor D B and Bigelow T S 1997 Radio Frequency Power in Plasmas (Savannah) (AIP Conf. Proc. 403) ed P M Ryan and T Intrator (New York: AIP) p 215 Bornatici M et al 1983 Nucl. Fusion 23 1153 Boyd D et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 255 Buratti P et al 1995 Proc. 22nd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, 1995) vol 19C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part III, p 21 Chan V S et al 1997 Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Montreal, 1996) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 95 Cirant S et al 1995 Proc. 15th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Seville, 1994) vol II (Vienna: IAEA) p 159 1996 Proc. 23rd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Kiev, 1996) vol 20C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part II, p 883 Cordey J G 1997 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 39 B115 De Baar M R et al 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 4573 Deliyanakis N et al 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 1391 Demers Y et al 1997 Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 42 1856 -1998 2nd Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 22A (Geneva: EPS) p 281 Erckmann V and Gasparino U 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 1869 Erckmann V V et al 1993 Phys. Rev. Lett. 70 2086 -1995a Fusion Eng. Design 26 141 -1995b Proc. 15th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Seville, 1994) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 771 1997 Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Montreal, 1996) vol II (Vienna: IAEA) p 119 Esipchuk Yu V 1995 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 37 A267 Fidone I and Granata G 1994 Nucl. Fusion 34 743 Fielding S J et al 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 1091 -1998 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 40 731 Fisch N J and Boozer A H 1980 Phys. Rev. Lett. 45 720 Forest C B et al 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 2444 Gates D A et al 1995 Proc. 22nd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, 1995) vol 19C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part IV, p 117 1997 Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Montreal, 1996) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 715 Giruzzi G et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) p 247 Goodman T P et al 1998 2nd Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 22A (Geneva: EPS) p 245 Hartfuss H J et al 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 B17 Harvey R W et al 1997 Nucl. Fusion 37 69 Hogeweij G M D et al 1996a Phys. Rev. Lett. 76 632 -1996b Nucl. Fusion 36 535 1997 Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Montreal, 1996) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 655 Hoshino K et al 1988 Nucl. Fusion 28 301 -1989 Phys. Rev. Lett. 63 770

Hoshino K et al 1995 Proc. 15th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Seville, 1994) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 697 Idei H et al 1995 Fusion Eng. Design 26 167 Ivanov N V et al 1995 Proc. 22nd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, 1995) vol 19C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part III, p 77 Jacchia A et al 1994 Nucl. Fusion 34 1629 James R et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 75 Jotaki E and Itoh S 1997 Kyushu University Report FURKU 97-03(44) Kawashima H et al 1991 Nucl. Fusion 31 495 Kislov D A et al 1995 Proc. 22nd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, 1995) vol 19C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part I, p 369 1997 Nucl. Fusion 37 339 Konings J A et al 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 45 Lagua H P et al 1997 Phys. Rev. Lett. 78 3467 Leuterer F et al 1997 Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part IV, p 1533 Lohr J et al 1988 Phys. Rev. Lett. 60 2630 Lloyd B 1997 Proc. 10th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Ameland, Holland) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 443 Lloyd B et al 1988 Nucl. Fusion 28 1013 -1991 Nucl. Fusion 31 2031 1994 Proc. 21st Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Montpellier, 1994) vol 18B (Geneva: European Physical Society) part II, p 1012 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 1627 Lopes Cardozo N J et al 1994 Phys. Rev. Lett. 73 256 Luce T C et al 1991 Proc. 13th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Washington, 1990) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 631 1997 Bull. Am. Phys. Soc. 42 1976 Luxon J L et al 1990 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 32 869 Maekawa T et al 1997 Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Montreal, 1996) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 771 Mantica P et al 1996 Nucl. Fusion 36 1317 Maroli C and Petrillo V 1988 Nuovo Cimento D 10 677 McArdle G J et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 271 Michelot Y et al 1996 Nucl. Fusion 36 309 Morris A W et al 1995 Proc. 15th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Seville, 1994) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 365 Nagashima K et al 1995 Nucl. Fusion 35 994 O'Brien M R et al 1991 Nucl. Fusion 31 583 Peacock N J et al 1994 Proc. 21st Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Montpellier, 1994) vol 18B (Geneva: European Physical Society) part I, p 134 Peeters A G et al 1995 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 37 525 Peters M et al 1995 Proc. 22nd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, 1995) vol 19C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part III, p 37 Pereverzev G V 1989 Proc. 12th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Nice, 1988) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 739 Petty C C and Luce T C 1994 Nucl. Fusion 34 121 Petty C C et al 1995 Nucl. Fusion 35 773 Pochelon A et al 1997 Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part II, p 537 -1998 2nd Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 22A (Geneva: European Physical Society) p 253 Polman R W et al 1992 Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 16E, p 321 1997a Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part II, p 605 1997b Proc. 10th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Ameland, Holland) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 357 Prater R 1990 J. Fusion Energy 9 19

- Prater R et al 1989 Proc. 12th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Nice, 1988) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 527
- Preinhaelter J and Kopecký V 1973 J. Plasma Phys. 10 1

Razumova K A et al 1994 Phys. Plasmas 1 1554

Renner H et al 1989 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 31 1579

Rice B W and Hooper E B 1994 Nucl. Fusion 34 1

Roberts D 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 125

Roberts D R et al 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 1117

Rome M et al 1997 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 39 117

- Ryter F et al 1997a Proc. 16th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Montreal, 1996) vol I (Vienna: IAEA) p 1625
- ——1997b Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part IV, p 1477
- Salzedas F et al 1998 2nd Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 22A (Geneva: European Physical Society) p 273

Savrukhin P V et al 1994 Nucl. Fusion 34 317

Segui J L and Giruzzi G 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 897

Segui J L et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) (Singapore: World Scientific) p 223

- ——1996 Nucl. Fusion **36** 237
- Smits F M A et al 1992 Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 16E (Geneva: European Physical Society) p 313
- Snipes J A 1997 Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part III, p 961
- Stroth U et al 1996 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 38 611

Tanaka H et al 1991 Nucl. Fusion 31 1673

- Tanaka S et al 1992 Europhys. Top. Conf. on Radiofrequency Heating and Current Drive of Fusion Devices, Europhys. Conf. Abs. (Brussels, Belgium) vol 16E (Geneva: European Physical Society) p 265
- Terumichi Y et al 1995 Proc. 15th Int. Conf. on Plasma Physics and Controlled Nuclear Fusion Research (Seville, 1994) vol II (Vienna: IAEA) p 189
- Todd T N et al 1993 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 35 B231

Tran M Q 1998 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 40 this conference

Valovic M et al 1997 Proc. 24th Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Berchtesgaden, 1997) vol 21A (Geneva: European Physical Society) part I, p 269

van Gelder J F M et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) p 239

——1998 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion submitted

Wagner F et al 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 A61

Warrick C D et al 1995 Proc. 22nd Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Bournemouth, 1995) vol 19C (Geneva: European Physical Society) part III, p 369

Westerhof E 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) p 3

Whaley D R et al 1992 Nucl. Fusion 32 757

Yao L H et al 1994 Proc. 21st Eur. Conf. on Controlled Fusion and Plasma Physics (Montpellier, 1994) vol 18B (Geneva: European Physical Society) part II, p 1016

Zhang D et al 1995 Proc. 9th Joint Workshop on ECE and ECRH (Borrego Springs, USA) p 117

Zushi H et al 1994 Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion 36 A231